

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/531,884	HAIGH ET AL.	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Karen Cheng.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Karen Prus.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 16 July 2007

**Time:** 1:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

112.1

Claims discussed:

1 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 contained proviso that is no longer applicable to subject matter. Applicant agreed to delete out provisos as they were no longer necessary. Claim 14 was directed towards a method of prevention, which was not supported by the prior art. Applicant agreed to amend the claim so it is directed towards a method of treatment only. Claim 22 is directed to a compound of Formula (I) which should be Formula (Ia). This change will be made by Examiner's Amendment